



DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
HAZARDOUS MATERIALS REGULATIONS BOARD
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20590

17853

[49 CFR Parts 173, 179]

[Docket No. HM-126; Notice No. 75-4]

PROHIBITION OF NEW CONSTRUCTION OF SPECIFICATION DOT-112A AND 114A UNINSULATED PRESSURE TANK CAR TANKS

Transportation of Hazardous Materials

The Hazardous Materials Regulations Board is considering amending §§ 173.314 and 179.101 of the Department's Hazardous Materials Regulations to prohibit new construction of Specification DOT-112A and 114A uninsulated pressure tank cars for use in the transportation of hazardous materials.

Since 1958 there have been a series of disastrous railroad accidents involving these uninsulated pressure tank cars transporting liquefied flammable compressed gases. During these accidents tank cars were readily punctured, enormous quantities of gas were released and ignited. The flames in turn overheated adjacent, similarly laden, tank cars causing them to rupture, burn and "rocket" for considerable distances. One such disastrous accident occurred at Neshville, Mississippi, on January 25, 1969.

13 of 15 LP Gas laden 112A tank cars violently ruptured and rocketed causing the death of three townspeople, and injuries to over 30 others, and property damage totalling millions of dollars. On June 21, 1970, at Crescent City, Illinois, rupturing tank cars of the 112A Specification resulted in injuries to approximately 10 persons; and again on October 1971, in Houston, Texas, ruptured tank cars of a flammable compressed gas caused the death of one fireman and injured approximately 35 firemen and newsmen on the scene. Many other accidents have occurred which have presented severe hazardous conditions for the public and emergency response personnel. On February 11, 1974, near Oneonta, New York, ruptured and overheated 112A specification tank cars caused injuries to over 50 persons, primarily firefighters who were endeavoring to control the fires.

At Decatur, Illinois, on July 19, 1974, a DOT 114A tank car was punctured in a switching accident resulting in a gas-air blast that injured 130 people and killed seven. Again at Houston, Texas, on September 21, 1974, a switching accident resulted in a similar explosion that killed one person and injured 150 persons.

A summary of the accidents reported to DOT, covering accidents involving DOT Specification 112A and 114A uninsulated tank cars from January 1, 1969, to date, reveals that there were 192 accidents involving 434 DOT 112A or 114A tank cars which resulted in 68 head punctures, 13 shell punctures, 59 tank ruptures and a total of 156 had loss of lading. Twenty-three persons were killed and 936 injured as a result.

Prior to the introduction of 112A and 114A uninsulated tank cars liquefied compressed gases were transported exclusively in Specification 105A insulated tank cars. It is estimated that approximately 22,000 112A and 114A uninsulated pressure tank cars are in service today, compared to the approximately 33,000 Specification 105A insulated tank cars in hazardous materials service. Although there are less 112A/114A than 105A tank cars in service, the RPI-AAR Tank Car Safety Research and Test Project reports (for 1965-1970) indicate that more than twice as many 112A/114A tank cars were damaged, as compared to 105A tank cars, and that five times as many 112A/114A tank cars ruptured.

The relative utilization for both the 112A/114A tank cars and the 105A tank cars has been considered. The utilization factor was considered in order to determine whether the higher utilization of 112A/114A tank cars, as compared to 105A tank cars, would serve to explain the higher rate of accidents being experienced by the 112A/114A tank cars. Data, submitted in connection with the audited carload waybill sampling project of the FRA, was reviewed to provide information concerning the relative utilization of both types of cars. The information obtained from this data did not support the concept that the higher utilization of 112A/114A tank cars would serve to explain the higher rate of accidents being experienced by the 112A/114A tank cars.

FRA accident data accumulated during the period of January 1, 1969, through December 31, 1974 indicates that:

	112A/ 114A	105A
Number of accidents reported to FRA	192	101
Number of cars derailed and/or damaged	434	213
Number of cars sustaining a head puncture	68	13
Number of cars sustaining a shell puncture without a head puncture	13	5
Number of cars ruptured without puncture	59	8
Number of tanks sustaining partial or total loss of hazardous lading	156	39
Number of persons killed as a result of tanks being punctured or ruptured	23	0
Number of persons injured	936	151

Available data has shown 105A tank cars to be substantially superior to 112A/114A tank cars from a safety standpoint. (Many of the 105A tank car ruptures occurred as a result of chemical detonation of the lading rather than by a weakening of the shell due to overheating, or puncture.) In fact, extremely hazardous commodities such as Motor Fuel Anti-Knock Compound and Ethylene Oxide, which may detonate if exposed to high temperatures, are required to be carried in insulated tank cars.

As a result of unintentional release of liquefied petroleum gas from 112A/114A tank cars during train accidents, the Railway Progress Institute and the Association of American Railroads have

undertaken a multi-million dollar joint research program to evaluate the conditions leading up to tank car puncture and rupture (tank "rocketing"), and to develop improvements to eliminate this safety problem.

The Federal Railroad Administration and the Hazardous Materials Regulations Board have already taken regulatory action to improve tank car safety by requiring protective head shields on DOT Specification 112A and 114A uninsulated tank car heads to reduce the incidence of head punctures on tank cars carrying liquefied compressed gases (HIM-109). In addition, FRA is closely monitoring tank car operations. The Administrator issued FRA Emergency Order No. 2 (37 FR 28311) prohibiting further use of certain uninsulated pressure tank cars found to have a structural inadequacy which results in tank shell cracking and possible leakage of hazardous lading. These cars were not permitted to be returned to service until this structural inadequacy has been corrected by modification of these cars (39 FR 2124).

In an effort to improve tank car safety by way of regulatory operating practices, the FRA issued Emergency Order No. 5 on October 25, 1974, prohibiting the free switching of all 112A and 114A tank cars transporting flammable compressed gas. By requiring controlled coupling of such tank cars, the FRA has moved to decrease the danger of head punctures in train yard operations.

The Federal Railroad Administration is also undertaking research efforts in this area to improve tank car safety. The FRA program is a multi-faceted research program aimed at determining the failure modes of these type tank cars and solutions to eliminating these safety problems. Theoretical and experimental studies of one-fifth scale and full size tank cars to determine and evaluate their performance in fires are underway. Torching as well as enveloping fires are being studied and various insulating materials are being evaluated to develop specifications for "thermal shielding" to prevent tank overheating and "rocketing." Fire tests have shown that the application of a "thermal shield" (insulation) will extend the survival time of a propane laden tank car subject to a pool fire from twenty-five minutes to ninety minutes. This extra time will give firefighters a better chance to cool the tank car surface and prevent impingement of the tank and an explosion. In addition, the "thermal shield" reduces the severity of those tank explosions which do occur.

Likewise, metallurgical analysis is also being conducted by the FRA on the materials used in the construction of tank cars. The objective of this study is to develop new specifications for tank shell steel and better design attachments to the tank shell. Theoretical structural stress analysis is being performed to obtain a better understanding of a tank car as a structure.

